

Erdős Cubes

2026-09-26

Unless specified otherwise, let (X, μ, Γ) be the Furstenberg system, Ψ a Følner sequence and a be a point in X defined by the countably-infinite discrete amenable group Γ , the Følner sequence Φ , and the subset $A \subset \Gamma$ where $A = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : T^\gamma a \in E\}$. Additionally, let $[[k]] = \{0, 1\}^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and define $X^{[[k]]}$ to be $\prod_{i=1}^{2^k} X$ and $T^{[[k]]}$ to be the corresponding 2^k -fold transformation on $X^{[[k]]}$.

We looked at “Infinite Sumsets in Sets with Positive Density’’ ([Kra et al., 2022b](#)) in our goal of understanding Erdős cubes for the purpose of generalising the paper by Host ([2019](#)) using more recent methods.

Definition 0.1 (Kra et al., 2022b, Definition 1.2). We call $(x_{00}, x_{01}, x_{10}, x_{11}) \in X^{[[2]]}$ a *2-dimensional Erdős cube* if there exists sequences $n \mapsto b(n), c(n)$ of distinct elements in Γ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (T \times T)^{c_1(n)}(x_{00}, x_{01}) &\rightarrow (x_{10}, x_{11}), \\ (T \times T)^{c_2(n)}(x_{00}, x_{10}) &\rightarrow (x_{01}, x_{11}). \end{aligned}$$

Whilst understanding Erdős cubes, we also briefly compared it to Erdős progressions to understand how these similar concepts differ.

Definition 0.2 (Kra et al., 2022a, Definition 2.1). We call $(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in X^3$ a *3-term Erdős progression* if there exists a sequence $n \mapsto c(n)$ of distinct elements in Γ such that

$$(T \times T)^{c(n)}(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2).$$

We then worked through a basic example of showing that there exists an Erdős cube in a Furstenberg dynamical system if and only if there exist infinite sumsets within a positively dense set in a group.

Theorem 0.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

1. *There exists infinite sets $B_1, B_2 \subset \Gamma$ where $B_1 \cdot B_2 \subset A$.*
2. *There exists an Erdős cube $(a, x_{01}, x_{10}, x_{11}) \in X^{[[2]]}$ where $x_{11} \in E$.*

Proof.

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Assume we have infinite sets $B_1, B_2 \subset \Gamma$ where

$$B_1 \cdot B_2 \subset A = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : T^g a \in E\}.$$

Let $n \mapsto b_1(n)$ and $m \mapsto b_2(m)$ be infinite sequences of distinct elements in B_1 and B_2 , respectively. As $b_1(n) \cdot b_2(m) \in A$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$T^{b_1(n) \cdot b_2(m)} a \in E$$

for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. As X is compact, we use the property that every sequence has a convergent subsequence to define subsequences $(c_1(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(c_2(m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{c_1(n)} a, \\ \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T^{c_2(m)} a, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T^{c_1(n) \cdot c_2(m)} a$$

exist and label these limits as x_{10}, x_{01} , and x_{11} , respectively. As $T^{c_1(n) \cdot c_2(m)} a \in E$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $x_{11} \in E$. Thus, we have shown the existence of an Erdős cube where $x_{11} \in E$.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Assume we have an Erdős cube $(a, x_{01}, x_{10}, x_{11}) \in X^{[[2]]}$ where $x_{11} \in E$. We construct subsequences $n \mapsto b_1(n)$ and $m \mapsto b_2(m)$ inductively. We start by choosing $b_1(1)$ from $\{c_1(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T^{b_1(1) \cdot c_2(m)} a \in E.$$

Then, we choose $b_2(1)$ from $\{c_2(m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{c_1(n) \cdot b_2(1)} a \in E.$$

Next, we choose $b_1(2) \neq b_1(1)$ from $\{c_1(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $b_1(2) \cdot b_2(1) \in \{\gamma \in \Gamma : T^g a \in E\} = A$ and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T^{b_1(2) \cdot c_2(m)} a \in E.$$

We then choose $b_2(2) \neq b_2(1)$ from $\{c_2(m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that $b_1(1) \cdot b_2(2), b_1(2) \cdot b_2(2) \in A$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{c_1(n) \cdot b_2(2)} a \in E.$$

We repeat this alternating inductive construction by choosing

$$b_1(i) \in \{c_1(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus \{b_1(1), \dots, b_1(i-1)\}$$

such that $b_1(i) \cdot \{b_2(m) : m < i\} \subset A$ and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} T^{b_1(i) \cdot c_2(m)} a \in E,$$

then choosing

$$b_2(i) \in \{c_2(m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \setminus \{b_2(1), \dots, b_2(i-1)\}$$

such that $\{b_1(n) : n \leq i\} \cdot b_2(i) \subset A$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^{c_1(n) \cdot b_2(i)} a \in E.$$

After infinitely many steps, we are left with sequences $(b_1(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(b_2(m))_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of distinct elements in Γ such that $T^{b_1(n) \cdot b_2(m)} a \in E$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. As $A = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : T^\gamma a \in E\}$, we can conclude that $b_1(n) \cdot b_2(m) \in A$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. By setting $B_1 = \{b_1(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $B_2 = \{b_2(m) : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we proven the existence of infinite subsets B_1, B_2 such that $B_1 \cdot B_2 \subset A$.

□

- Host, B. (2019). *A short proof of a conjecture of erdős proved by moreira, richter and robertson*. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1904.09952>
- Kra, B. et al. (2022a). *A proof of erdős's $B + B + t$ conjecture*. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.12377>
- Kra, B. et al. (2022b). *Infinite sumsets in sets with positive density*. Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.01786>